

Allotment of Portion of Amruth Mahal Kaval in Belur Taluk to a Registered Aided Cattle Breeder.

Q.—2973. Sri B. N. EORANNA GOWDA (Belur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it is a fact that some portion of Rengapur Amruth Mahal Kaval in Belur Taluk is allotted to one registered aided cattle breeder;

(1) whether it is a fact that the same portion of the land has also been allotted to Rundavanahalli Co-operative Society for cultivation;

(c) whether it is a fact that Rundavanahalli people have cleared the forest by cutting about 2,000 sandal wood trees to prepare the land for cultivation;

(d) whether it is a fact that the Forest Department has launched a case for demolition of the sandal wood trees;

(e) if so, the results of the case?

A.—Sri K. F. PATIL (Minister for Agriculture and Food).—

(a) Yes.

(b) No.

(c) Yes.

(d) The matter was entrusted to the Police for investigation of the case and for taking further proceeding under I.P.C.

(e) The prosecutions launched by the Police resulted in the acquittal of the accused person who got the benefit of doubt.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎ. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—(ಬಿ)ಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ ರುಂದಾವನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಕೋಲಾಪರೇಟರ್ ಸೊಸೈಟಿಗೆ ಬಿಮೀನನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲವೇನು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಫ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಒಂದೇ ನಂಬಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟದ್ದೇ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎ. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—2,000 ಗಂಧದ ಮರಗಳನ್ನು ಯಾವ ಕಾರಣದಿಂದ ಕಡಿಯಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬಿಟ್ಟಿತು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಫ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಕಾರಣ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಕಡಿದದ್ದು ತಪ್ಪು ಎಂದು ಕೇಳು ಹಾಕಿತು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎ. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಏತಕ್ಕೆ ಕಡಿದರು? ಅದಕ್ಕೆಲ್ಲಾ—ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟಿನವರು ಹೇಗೆ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎ. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—2,000 ಮರಗಳನ್ನು ಯಾವ ಕಾರಣಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಕಡಿಯಲಾಯಿತು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಫ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—2,000 ಮರಗಳನ್ನು ಕಡಿದದ್ದು ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಕೇನು ಹಾಕಲಾಯಿತು. ಬಿ

ಎಚ್ ಆಫ್ ಡಾಟು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಅವಾದಿತನನ್ನು ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಯಿತು.

Construction of Agricultural College at Hebbal.

Q.—3209. Sri K. V. BYRE GOWDA (Bangalore North).— Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) when the construction of the Agricultural College at Hebbal was started;

(b) the progress made so far;

(c) whether a separate sub-division is maintained by the P.W.D. for this College building;

(d) if so, the cost of supervision charges so far met in this respect till the end of January 1958?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(a) 26th January 1956.

(b) Foundation work is completed and the superstructure has come up to the roof level in all the wings. The outlay up to the end of July 1958 is Rs. 1,89,178.

(c) Yes.

(d) Rs. 19,915.24 nP. up to the end of January 1958.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎ. ಬೈರೇಗೌಡ.—ಕಟ್ಟಡವನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟುವಾಗ, ಬೆಂಡರ್ ಕರೆದು, ಡಿಸೈನ್ ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ಟೆನಿಫಿ ಕೇರ್ಡ್ ಸ್ವಪ್ನವಾಗ್ಗಿತ್ತಿಳಿ, ಮುಂದೂರಾತಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರಾ?

*Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Yes, Sir.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎ. ಬೈರೇಗೌಡ.—ಪಾಯ ಯಾವಾಗ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದು?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—The work was actually started in June 1956. The foundation was laid on 20th June 1956.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎ. ಬೈರೇಗೌಡ.—ಫೌಂಡೇಷನ್ನು ಹಾಕುವಾಗಲೇಯೆ ಕಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರಿಗೆ ಕ್ಲಯರ್ ಆಗಿ ಸ್ಟೆನಿಫಿ ಕೇರ್ಡ್, ಡಿಸೈನ್ ಎಲ್ಲವನ್ನೂ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಿರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಹೌದು ಸ್ವಾಮಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎ. ಬೈರೇಗೌಡ.—ಅಗಿಂದಾಗ್ಗೆ ಪೂರೈಸಿದ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಕಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರಿಗೆ ಹಣ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಬಂದಿರಾ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Yes sir.

1-30 P.M.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎ. ಬೈರೇಗೌಡ.—‘ಡಿ’ ಭಾಗದ ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ 19,915.24 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಜನವರಿ 1958 ರವರೆಗೆ ಎಂದಿದೆ. ಒಟ್ಟು ಅಂದಾಜಷ್ಟು?

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—The total estimate is for Rs. 10,23,050.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎ. ಬೈರೇಗೌಡ.—ಸೂಪರ್ ವಿಷ್ಡಾ ಚಾರ್ಜ್ ಎಷ್ಟು?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—19,915.24 ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—The answer is given.

Sri K. L. NARASIMHAIAH.—May I know the total outlay up-to-date?

Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR.—I want notice.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—May I know whether the specifications for flooring, roofing, etc., were prepared at the time of calling for tenders?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Yes, they were.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಮಾರಣ್ಣ.—ಅಂದಾಜು 'ರಿವೈನ್' ಆಯಿತು?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—It is not yet revised.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಮಾರಣ್ಣ.—ರಿವೈನ್ ಮಾಡುವ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆ ಇದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆ ಇದ್ದರೆ, ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Is it not a fact that the estimate was not scrutinised or sanctioned at the time the tenders were called for?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—At the time of calling for tenders administrative sanction has been given and technical sanction has not been given. Later on, technical sanction has also been given.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—May I know whether the estimate was at least scrutinised at the time of calling for tenders?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—I have already said that technical sanction was still due.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಕಟ್ಟಡವನ್ನು ನಿಧಾನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಅಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಗೆ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಅಳಿದು ಬಂದಿರುವ ಕಾರಣದಿಂದಲೇ ಬೇಗ ಮುಗಿಸಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಯಾವಾಗ ಮುಗಿಸುತ್ತೀರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗ ನಿಧಾನವಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಬೇಗ ಮುಗಿಸಲು ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—May I

know whether this bulidining will be ready when the next classes begin in July next?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—The buildings are already ready; they are fit for occupation and conducting classes.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIA.—Due to the delay in constructing this building, is it not a fact that the cost of construction has gone up considerably?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Yes, to some extent.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—Is it not the practice in the Government to call for tenders after the estimate is sanctioned?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Where administrative sanction is given, it is nothing unusual to call for tenders pending the approval of the technical sanction.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—Supposing a tender is called for before an estimate is sanctioned and supposing that some new items come up, will not the Government lose there by?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—For non-tendered items payment will be made either on data to be worked out or as per schedule of rates.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—In view of this, is it not better to get the estimate sanctioned before tender is called for?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Sometimes it will be necessary to call for tenders. After all the tender will be based on the nature of the work.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—May I know whether the delay is not due to lack of proper preparation of estimate and calling of tenders before technical sanction was obtained?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—It is not correct. The delay is due to other reasons. The reasons are: abnormally low rates quoted by the tenderers, rise in the value of cement and steel and the development of loss-complex on the part of the contractor.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಫ್. ಮೂಡುಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಬಡ ರಾವಣಿಯಾದುದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಅಲ್ಲ, ಕಂಬಗಳಿಗೆ ವೈರ್ ಹಾಕಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಕಾರಣದಿಂದ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ನಿಧಾನವಾಯಿತು. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ, ನಾನು ಆಗಲೇ ಹೇಳಿದಂತೆ

ಕಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರ್ ಕಡೆಗೆ ದುರೂ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ನಿರ್ಧಾರ
ಯಿತು.

**Allotment of Sites to the Employees
of Karnataka Films Limited, Gandhinagar.**

**Q.—3234. Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA
(Gubbi).—**

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the number of sites that were allotted to the employees of the Karnataka Films Ltd., Gandhinagar;

(b) when the allotments were made and whether the allotments were made individually or in the name of the concern together with the rate fixed?

A.—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government).—

(a) Ten sites were allotted to the employees of the Karnataka Films Ltd., Gandhinagar;

(b) The allotments were made individually by the Board at the meeting held on 31st January 1958, at the prevailing rates of Rs. 6-2-0 per square yard for sites measuring 30'x 45' and Rs. 7-2-0 per square yard for sites measuring 40'x 60' and after completing the formalities and receipt of the full value of the site, the possession will be given to the individuals concerned and not to the firm as in the case in all such allotments.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—When did these ten people apply for sites?

***Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—**In the year 1957. I do not know the date.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I know whether these sites were allotted in one place or were they distributed?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—I think they were mostly allotted in one place.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Is it not a fact that the prevailing rates were higher than the rates at which sites were given to these people?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—No, the same rate prevailed everywhere.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Is it not a fact that these ten sites were given to these ten people at a conces-

sion rate as a result of the interference of the Government?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—No, they were given those sites at the prevailing rate.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I bring to the notice of the Government that there is a representation by these ten people requesting for allotment of sites at a concession rate?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—I am not aware. The papers may be pending with the Trust Board.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—Is it not a fact that because the Managing Director of the Karnataka Films Ltd. happened to be a relative of an ex-minister, these sites were given at a concession rate?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—They were not given at a concession rate. The relationship of the Managing Director or of the employees cannot influence the grant of sites at a concessional rate.

**Drinking Water Wells in the Babbur
Agricultural Experimental Farm.**

**Q.—3143. Sri K. KENCHAPPA
(Hiriyur).—**

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the number of drinking water wells provided in the area where the officers and officials attached to Babbur Agricultural Experimental Farm are residing together with the year in which they were constructed;

(b) whether these wells contain spring or percolated water;

(c) whether these wells have been provided with revetments, platforms, parapet walls, beams and pulleys;

(d) whether these wells have been repaired at any time after their construction?

A.—Sri K. F. PATIL (Minister for Agriculture and Food).—

(a) There are four drinking water wells on the Experimental Farm, Babbur. Three of them were sunk in 1913. The fourth well which was half sunk and had no water was later deepened in the year 1954.